

Minutes (notes) of the Futures Working Party Meeting 20.04.22

- 1) ABSENCES.....County Councillor Susan Van De Ven and District Councillor Jose Hales. Acceptable reasons were given.
- 2) PREVIOUS MINUTES.....The previous minutes notes were agreed no additional comments and or changes were made.
- 3) CURENT MVAS CAMERA UNIT....GSC gave an update on the current usage of the existing MVAS unit. He advised the group that the unit had now been used in three of the four locations initially designated. The fourth location on Station Road was identified as unsuitable by the Wardens. It was been agreed to apply to use an alternate lamp post on Station Road, the office is in the process of logging the request. Once this had been agreed the unit will be rotated to this location when the unit is due to be moved again. We are currently leaving the unit in each location for approx. 4 weeks at a time. GSC advised the group that we had received very positive feedback from the local public. A member of the working party did suggest that locating a unit in Back Lane might be a good idea as this was a dangerous road with no pavement area for pedestrians.
- 4) SECOND MVAS UNIT....GSC advised the group that approval had been received from Full Council to acquire a second unit. Research was being done as to whether to acquire the same unit as the first or go for a solar unit. GSC advised the group that after discussions with other Councils to acquire the same unit as we already had would be the best option. This would require less installation issues and be more reliable. VOTE...The group voted on this and all voted in favour of purchasing an identical unit.
- 5) We discussed the possibility of setting up a Speed Watch Group, it was decided that this was not a practical option based on conversations with other village groups. However, we did discuss the possibility of setting up a group of volunteers who would be responsible for maintaining and relocating the 2 units. Also downloading the data from the units. This was deemed not really practical as there would be Health and safety issues and operational logistical issues. (I.E if anyone damaged a unit). It was agreed not to pursue this further at this time.
- 6) NEIGHBOURHOOD POLICING UNIT.. GSC referred the group to the information previously provided about the neighbourhood policing unit in Cambridge. GSC suggested that it would be a good idea to make contact with the unit as they were interested in receiving MVAS data. GSC stated that this could be the first step towards getting police speed checks in the village. VOTE...The group voted in favour of making contact all voted in favour.
- 7) ROAD MARKINGS The group discussed the quality of existing road markings. It was agreed that we should all continue to alert Highways through the online portal of areas where road markings were eroded or in very poor condition. It was suggested that a report should be produced of all of the areas within the village and submit this. District Councillor Hart advised the group that the only way we would get any response was by using the official online process. A member of the group said that he believed some of the yellow lined areas in the village did not have the appropriate signage identifying times of no parking etc. It was agreed that we should review all yellow lined areas and report on any that did not have the appropriate signage. A member of the working party suggested that it might be a good idea

to introduce a white lined area along Back lane. This would provide some protection for pedestrians and cyclists.

- 8) LHI GRANT APPLICATION.. GSC updated the meeting on the LHI application, he advised that we are currently awaiting the formal response as to whether our application had been successful. He also thanked District Councillor Hart and County Councillor Van De Ven for attending and handling the application request.
- 9) AOB...ENTRANCES TO MELBOURN We discussed the possibility of introducing signs on bar fencing as you enter the village from the A10 and A505. Alerting drivers they are entering a village, welcoming signs asking people to drive carefully. The signs would be attached to fencing, this would provide the effect of entering a village. There would be costs associated with this if permission could be obtained from Highways. Sarah advised the group that her company (based on the Science Park) were possibly looking for initiatives to sponsor, Sarah advised the group that she would be happy to approach them. GSC advised that we would have to get approval from full Council to proceed with this initiative.

ACTIONS.....

- a) GSC to review with the office and Full Council the request to include Back Lane in the rotation of the MVAS units.
- b) GSC to review with the office the possibility of getting a pedestrian area (white Lines) along Back Lane.
- c) GSC to request the office to acquire a second MVAS unit. (Battery operated version).
- d) GSC to discuss with the office the next steps for trying to introduce entrance furniture to the village.

GSC...Graham Clark

Date.....03.05.22.....