Monthly water situation report

East of England

Summary – January 2022

January was a very dry month with an average of 17mm rainfall, just 34% of the Long Term Average (LTA) falling across the region, with much of this rainfall falling in the first week of the month. The January was the fifth driest month on record for East Anglia. Most catchments received exceptionally low levels of rainfall with a few catchments receiving notably low levels, mostly in the north-east of the area. Despite the low levels of rainfall, Soil Moisture Deficit (SMD) remained normal for the time of the year. River flows and groundwater levels at the majority of the indicator sites were normal for the time of year. However, more sites were classified below normal or exceptionally low than the previous month.

Rainfall

East Anglia had an exceptionally dry January, with an average rainfall recorded 17mm which was 34% of the LTA, making it the fifth driest month since the records began in 1891. The majority of catchments experienced exceptionally low rainfall with the lowest rainfall total in North Essex with 14mm (27% LTA). Slightly higher rainfall totals occurred in catchments in the north-east of the area such as North Norfolk and Broadland Rivers which had 23mm and 21mm (41% and 39% LTA) respectively classifying them as notably low. The rainfall accumulated for the past 3 months across the area was classified notably low, whereas for the last 6 months and 12 months, rainfall remained classified normal across East Anglia.

Soil Moisture Deficit/Recharge

SMD remained normal for January with the overall value increasing from 3.2mm to 11.4mm by the end of January in response to a very dry month. These SMD levels remained consistent across the area with the exception of a few slightly higher levels around the coast.

River Flows

Most river flow sites (60%) across East Anglia were categorised as normal levels for the time of year. However, 40% of the rivers were classified below normal because of exceptionally low rainfall. These rivers experienced higher flows in the previous month. Flows at the majority of indicator sites across the area show reduced river flows compared to December (where all sites were at normal to notably high flows).

Groundwater Levels

Groundwater levels at most indicator sites (78%) across East Anglia remained stable and were therefore classified as normal for January. 17% of the sites were classified as above normal while one of the site, Castle Farm, had below normal groundwater levels. Although the change was negligible, most (67%) groundwater sites continued recharging at the end of January. However, 33% of the sites including Therfield Rectory and Fringford showed a decreasing trend.

Reservoir Storage/Water Resource Zone Stocks

Grafham, Alton and Hanningfield were classed as normal based on the Normal Operating Curve and the historical records. However, Ardleigh and Abberton were classified as below normal for the time of year but appeared to be recovering by the end of January.

Environmental Impact

Groundwater support schemes operations remained low by the end of January; the Rhee groundwater support scheme had 1 of the 8 pumps operating. The Lodes-Granta groundwater support scheme had 3 of the 6 pumps operating. All other pumping operations including the Thet and Little Ouse and the Hiz were not operating.

Forward Look

Probabilistic ensemble projections for river flows at key sites

March 2022: There is an increased probability of flows below normal or lower for the Ouse, Kym, Bedford Ouse and Lvel rivers.
June 2022: The Bedford Ouse, Ivel and Ouse rivers all show an increased probability of flows below normal or lower.

Probabilistic ensemble projections for groundwater levels in key aquifers
March 2022: There is a greatly increased probability of normal groundwater levels at all indicator and of normal or higher levels at Bury St Edmunds in the Upper Lark Chalk and Washpit Farm in the NW Norfolk Chalk.
September 2022: There is an increased probability of normal levels at all sites.

Author: ANG-Hydrology Contact details: 03708506506

Rainfall

January 2022



- Exceptionally high
- Notably high
- Above normal
- Normal
- Below normal
- Notably low
- Exceptionally low

Rainfall expressed as percentage of 1961-1990 Long Term Average for the specified duration. Classes derived from data for the period 1891 to 2017 based on the HadUK dataset (Met Office © Crown Copyright)

Total rainfall for hydrological areas across England for the current month, the last three months, the last six months, and the last 12 months, classed relative to an analysis of respective historic totals. Final HadUK data based on the Met Office 1 km gridded rainfall dataset derived from rain gauges (Source: Met Office © Crown Copyright, 2021). Provisional data based on Environment Agency 1 km gridded rainfall dataset derived from Environment Agency intensity rain gauges. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, 100024198, 2021.

Above average rainfall

Below average rainfall

1-Month Period for East Anglia



1-Month Period for Upper Bedford Ouse



1-Month Period for Lower Bedford Ouse



1-Month Period for Central Area Fenland



1-Month Period for Cam



1-Month Period for NW Norfolk and Wissey



1-Month Period for Little Ouse and Lark



1-Month Period for North Norfolk



Above average rainfall

Below average rainfall





Soil Moisture Deficit



Data based on MORECS dataset (Met Office © Crown Copyright)

——2021-22 – – –2020-21

Exceptionally high | Notably high | Above normal | Normal

Below normal | Notably low | Exceptionally low | —— Latest data



River Flow

January 2022



Exceptionally high | Notably high | Above normal | Normal | Max
Below normal | Notably low | Exceptionally low | Latest data | Min

Cappenham, TOVE

Ranking derived from data for the period Feb-1962 to Dec-2017



Willen, OUZEL

Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1962 to Dec-2017



Burnt Mill, RHEE
Ranking derived from data for the period Oct-1962 to Dec-2017



Roxton, GREAT OUSE
Ranking derived from data for the period Oct-1972 to Dec-2017



Blunham, IVEL
Ranking derived from data for the period Aug-1959 to Dec-2017



Offord (Gross Flows), GREAT OUSE
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1972 to Dec-2017



Abbey Heath, LITTLE OUSE
Ranking derived from data for the period Jun-1968 to Dec-2017



Northwold Total, WISSEY
Ranking derived from data for the period Jul-1983 to Dec-2012



Dernford, CAM
Ranking derived from data for the period Feb-1949 to Dec-2017



Temple, LARK
Ranking derived from data for the period Nov-1960 to Dec-2017



Denver, ELY OUSE
Ranking derived from data for the period Nov-1971 to Dec-2017



Marham, NAR
Ranking derived from data for the period Apr-1982 to Dec-2017



Heacham, HEACHAM
Ranking derived from data for the period Nov-1965 to Dec-2017



Colney, YARE
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Ingworth, BURE
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Burnham Overy, BURN
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Swanton Morley Total, WENSUM
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Needham Weir Total, WAVENEY (LOWER)
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Bramford, GIPPING
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Lexden, COLNE
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Springfield, CHELMER
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1970 to Dec-2017



Groundwater Levels January 2022



Exceptionally high | Notably high | Above normal | Normal | Max
Below normal | Notably low | Exceptionally low | Latest data | Min

Fringford - GREAT OOLITE
Ranking derived from data for the period Sep-1980 to Dec-2017



Bath Spring, Charlton - IVEL CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Mar-1993 to Dec-2017



Biggleswade - IVEL SANDSTONE
Ranking derived from data for the period Mar-1968 to Dec-2017



Therfield Rectory - N HERTS CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1883 to Dec-2017



Redlands Hall, Ickleton - CAM CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Aug-1963 to Dec-2017



Linton - CAM CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1980 to Dec-2017



Gog Magog, Stapleford - CAM CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1980 to Dec-2017



Bury St Edmunds - UPPER LARK CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period May-1983 to Dec-2017



Newmarket - SNAIL CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Feb-1983 to Dec-2017



Kenninghall - LITTLE OUSE CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Aug-1973 to Dec-2017



Breckland - WISSEY CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1971 to Nov-2017



Washpit Farm, Rougham - NW NORFOLK CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period May-1950 to Dec-2017



Bircham Newton - NW NORFOLK CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Mar-1995 to Sep-2017



Castle Farm, Offton - MID SUFFOLK CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Mar-1967 to Dec-2017



Old Primary School, South Creake
NW NORFOLK CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Oct-1971 to Dec-2017



Hazlewood Common, SUFFOLK CRAG
Ranking derived from data for the period Oct-1988 Feb-2020



The Spinney, Costessey - WENSUM CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Oct-1971 to Dec-2017



Smeetham Hall Cottages, Bulmer - ESSEX CHALK
Ranking derived from data for the period Jan-1964 to Dec-2017





Reservoir Stocks January 2022





2021-2022 Normal Operating Curve Drought Alert Curve 1995-1996

Ardleigh



Abberton



Hanningfield



Forward Look – River Flows



Exceptionally high or low levels are those which would typically occur 5% of the time within the historic record. Notably high or low levels are those which would typically occur 8% of the time. Above normal or below normal levels are those which would typically occur 15% of the time. Normal levels are those which would typically occur 44% of the time within the historic record.

Probabilistic ensemble projections of river flows at key indicator sites in March 2022. Pie charts indicate probability, based on climatology, of the surface water flow at each site being e.g. exceptionally low for the time of year. (Source: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Agency) Geological map reproduced with kind permission from UK Groundwater Forum, BGS © NERC. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, 100026380, 2021.

^ *"Naturalised" flows are projected for these sites'*



Exceptionally high or low levels are those which would typically occur 5% of the time within the historic record. Notably high or low levels are those which would typically occur 8% of the time. Above normal or below normal levels are those which would typically occur 15% of the time. Normal levels are those which would typically occur 44% of the time within the historic record.

Probabilistic ensemble projections of river flows at key indicator sites in June 2022. Pie charts indicate probability, based on climatology, of the surface water flow at each site being e.g. exceptionally low for the time of year. (Source: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Agency) Geological map reproduced with kind permission from UK Groundwater Forum, BGS © NERC. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, 100026380, 2022

Forward Look – Groundwater



Exceptionally high or low levels are those which would typically occur 5% of the time within the historic record. Notably high or low levels are those which would typically occur 8% of the time. Above normal or below normal levels are those which would typically occur 15% of the time. Normal levels are those which would typically occur 44% of the time within the historic record.

Probabilistic ensemble projections of groundwater levels at key indicator sites for end of March 2022. Pie charts indicate probability, based on climatology, of the groundwater level at each site being e.g. exceptionally low for the time of year. (Source: Environment Agency) Geological map reproduced with kind permission from UK Groundwater Forum, BGS © NERC. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, 100026380, 2022.



Exceptionally high or low levels are those which would typically occur 5% of the time within the historic record. Notably high or low levels are those which would typically occur 8% of the time. Above normal or below normal levels are those which would typically occur 15% of the time. Normal levels are those which would typically occur 44% of the time within the historic record.

Probabilistic ensemble projections of groundwater levels at key indicator sites for end of September 2022. Pie charts indicate probability, based on climatology, of the groundwater level at each site being e.g. exceptionally low for the time of year. (Source: Environment Agency) Geological map reproduced with kind permission from UK Groundwater Forum, BGS © NERC. Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, 100026380, 2022.

Glossary

Term	Definition
Aquifer	A geological formation able to store and transmit water.
Areal average rainfall	The estimated average depth of rainfall over a defined area. Expressed in depth of water (mm).
Artesian	The condition where the groundwater level is above ground surface but is prevented from rising to this level by an overlying continuous low permeability layer, such as clay.
Artesian borehole	Borehole where the level of groundwater is above the top of the borehole and groundwater flows out of the borehole when unsealed.
Cumecs	Cubic metres per second (m^3s^{-1})
Effective rainfall	The rainfall available to percolate into the soil or produce river flow. Expressed in depth of water (mm).
Flood Alert/Flood Warning	Three levels of warnings may be issued by the Environment Agency. Flood Alerts indicate flooding is possible. Flood Warnings indicate flooding is expected. Severe Flood Warnings indicate severe flooding.
Groundwater	The water found in an aquifer.
Groundwater level	The water level measured in the aquifer at a borehole, which may include the impacts of artificial influences.
Long term average (LTA)	The arithmetic mean calculated from the historic record, usually based on the period 1961-1990. However, the period used may vary by parameter being reported on (see figure captions for details).
mAOD	Metres Above Ordnance Datum (mean sea level at Newlyn Cornwall).
MORECS	Met Office Rainfall and Evaporation Calculation System. Met Office service providing real time calculation of evapotranspiration, soil moisture deficit and effective rainfall on a 40 x 40 km grid.
Naturalised flow	River flow with the impacts of artificial influences removed. Artificial influences may include abstractions, discharges, transfers, augmentation and impoundments.
NCIC	National Climate Information Centre. NCIC area monthly rainfall totals are derived using the Met Office 5 km gridded dataset, which uses rain gauge observations.
Recharge	The process of increasing the water stored in the saturated zone of an aquifer. Expressed in depth of water (mm).
Reservoir gross capacity	The total capacity of a reservoir.
Reservoir live capacity	The capacity of the reservoir that is normally usable for storage to meet established reservoir operating requirements. This excludes any capacity not available for use (e.g. storage held back for emergency services, operating agreements or physical restrictions). May also be referred to as 'net' or 'deployable' capacity.
River Flow	The flow in the river measured at a gauging station which includes the upstream impact of artificial influences.
Soil moisture deficit (SMD)	The difference between the amount of water actually in the soil and the amount of water the soil can hold. Expressed in depth of water (mm).

Categories

Exceptionally high	Value likely to fall within this band 5% of the time within the historic record.
Notably high	Value likely to fall within this band 8% of the time within the historic record.
Above normal	Value likely to fall within this band 15% of the time within the historic record.
Normal	Value likely to fall within this band 44% of the time within the historic record.
Below normal	Value likely to fall within this band 15% of the time within the historic record.
Notably low	Value likely to fall within this band 8% of the time within the historic record.
Exceptionally low	Value likely to fall within this band 5% of the time within the historic record.